# MHD simulation of cloud and clump formation triggered by the thermal instability

(and consequent massive star feedback)

Chris Wareing, J. Pittard, S.A.E.G. Falle, S. Van Loo
Astrophysics Group

'Tracing the Flow', Tuesday 3rd July 2018

Giant Molecular Clouds (GMCs)

Most stars are formed in GMC e.g. Rosette Molecular Cloud

Size	$\simeq 35$ pc
Mass	$\simeq 10^5$ $M_\odot$
Mean Density	$\simeq 10^{-22}$ gm cm^{-3}
Temperature	$\simeq 10$ K $\Rightarrow$ sound speed $\simeq 0.2$ km s^{-1}
Alfvén speed	$\simeq 2$ km s^{-1} $\Rightarrow$ magnetic pressure dominates
Velocity dispersion	$\simeq 10$ km s^{-1} - Supersonic and super Alfvénic
$\Rightarrow$ Jeans Mass	$\simeq 10^7$ $M_\odot$ (based on velocity dispersion)

Rosette GMC not Homogeneous: CO maps show that it consists of $\simeq 70$ clumps with

Grey-scale: HI, contours CO, $1^{\circ} \equiv 28$pc

Blitz and Stark 1986

Sizes	$\simeq 3.5 - 8.0$ pc
Masses	$\simeq 10^2 - 2\,10^3$ M$_\odot$
Densities	10^{-21} gm cm^{-3}
Temperature	$\simeq 10$ K $\Rightarrow$ Sound speed $\simeq 0.2$ km s^{-1}
Alfvén speed	$\simeq 2$ km s^{-1} $\Rightarrow$ magnetic pressure dominates (Crutcher 1999)
Velocity dispersion	$\simeq 1$ km s^{-1} - Supersonic and sub Alfvénic
$\Rightarrow$ Jeans Mass	$3\,10^3$ M$_\odot$ (based on velocity dispersion)

Physical model

Simplest approach with self-consistent physics for the formation of a molecular cloud and examine the results, before adding extra complexity

- 3D MHD

- Self-gravity

- Multi-phase ISM including thermal instability

In future, extra additions may be necessary:

- Shear and pressure waves, imitating galactic evolution

- Large-scale flows: SN shock, cloud collision

- "Turbulent" initial conditions applying randomised velocities

but if one can find a solution without recourse to extra complexity ...

lex parsimoniae / Occam's razor

Two stable phases exist in which heating balances cooling (Wolfire et al. 1995)

W – warm phase (T > 5000K, ρ < 1, P/k < 5000)
C – cold phase (T < 160K, ρ > 10, P/k > 1600)
U – unstable phase

In the unstable region, can form a length scale from cooling time and sound speed ~ a few pc.

Molecular cloud formation (10K) and stellar feedback (10^8K) requires multi-stage cooling:

$<10^4$K Γ : Koyama & Inutsuka (2002), (2007 correction)

10^4K$<$T$<10^8$K Γ : CLOUDY 10.00 Gnat & Ferland (2012)

$>10^8$K Γ : MEKAL - free-free bremsstrahlung.

Constant heating rate $\Gamma = 2 \times 10^{-26}$ erg s^{-1} independent of ρ,T
=> Establishes thermal equilibrium P and T by $\rho^2 \Lambda = \rho \Gamma$

Simple 3D Hydro condition

Spherical cloud, radius 50pc, density n_H=1.1 - thermally unstable regime. External medium density 0.1, pressure same as cloud. Self-gravity

Impose random 10% density perturbations on finest initial AMR grid level (512^3)

Quiescent cloud <u>v</u>=0

Up to 10 levels of AMR (4096^3: 0.037pc)

Mass: $1.7 \ 10^4 \ M_{sun}$
Sound crossing time: 6.458 Myrs
Free fall time: 44.92 Myrs
Cooling time: 1.642 Myrs

Simple 3D Hydro condition

First peak not due to gravity, second one is =>

t=33.5 Myrs

Diameter ~5pc, Mass 182M$_{sun}$, Max density 2214, Mean density 177,
Max velocity 3.25 km s^{-1} (in frame of dense region), 0.6 km s^{-1} in dense gas.

Gravitationally bound, but not unstable (Bonnor-Ebert critical mass ~471 M$_{sun}$)

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

Domain size doubled, cloud radius increased to 100pc ($r_{init} = 2.0$), initial maximum AMR resolution 1024^3 (finest level 0.29pc), Mass $1.35 \ 10^5 \ M_{sun}$

(a) Plane at x = 0.2
(b) Plane at y = 0.0
log(ρ)
(c) Plane at z = -0.2
(d) Projection along x
log(Σ)
n_H [cm^{-3}]
[g cm^{-2}]

High density regions occur after
16.2 Myrs of diffuse cloud evolution
Increase resolution and simulate on…
- a further 28.5 Myrs
- resolution up to 0.039pc
Fellwalker *(how apt!)* clump identification watershed algorithm (Berry 2015)
- 28 gravitationally isolated clumps
- size scale ~5pc
- masses 50-300 M_{sun}, >80% cold phase
- inward flow, dispersion 4-6 km s^{-1}
- ***unstable***

Will collapse to form clusters

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

Most massive clump: 354 M$_{sun}$ (cold phase: 292M$_{sun}$), 5 pc diameter, max rho 1.5 10^4 (10^{-20} gm cm^{-3}), mean rho ~230 (5 10^{-22} gm cm^{-3}), dispersion 6.2 km s^{-1}.

3D MHD condition

Exactly the same as hydro, but with uniform field in the x-direction.
- Regular ($1.7 \ 10^4 \ M_{sun}$) and enlarged ($1.35 \ 10^5 \ M_{sun}$) clouds under consideration.
- Plasma β: 0.1 (strong field), 1.0 (plasma/magnetic pressure parity), 10.0 (weak field)

**Magnetic seismology of Musca 'filament' indicates it is like this!**

(**Tritsis & Tassis 2018, Science, vol 360, Issue 6389, pp.635-638**)

The plasma β=10.0 (weak field) case is looking very interesting

Log ρ

5.1

0.1

1pc

β

2.0

0.0

Fourier power spectrum

OMC 1:
BISTRO+
SCUBA-2+
HARP
6.7±4.7 mG

0.5pc   5.0%

Orion
BN/KL

Orion S

Orion Bar

○ 14.1" beam =0.027pc at D=388pc

Gravitational collapse once the sheet has formed is dragging the field.

Field intensified in places from 0.3µG to ~0.1mG

V_{max}~3 km s^{-1}, $\mathcal{M}_{max}$~2.9, T~10K, M~150M$_{sun}$

Density power spectrum k$^{-2.5}$

Velocity power spectrum

k^{-3}

Beginning to show similarities?

=> Next step: re-simulate central section; sinks

Mechanical stellar wind feedback

Feedback simulations into these clouds have shown it's possible to clear a relatively small central cavity from a sheet-like parent molecular cloud.

What if the Rosette nebula...

...was formed by something like this:
(β=1 cloud, 40M$_{sun}$ feedback)

Simulating the Rosette Nebula

Simulation 6: 40M$_\odot$ in a thin disc
log(ρ) on y=0 plane, t=1.5Myrs

1.35 10^5 M$_{sun}$ cloud

Density isosurface (red)
Wind isosurface (blue)
Magnetic field lines

Rotate to match Planck

Rotate to incline field

Column density log(Σ),t=1.5Myrs

Column density projection

Column density, detail of central region

Evacuated hole
- Simulation:
  10x7.5 pc

- Observations:

  Celnik: d~13pc
  IPHAS: d~10pc

Conclusions

Adopting only 3D hydrodynamics, thermal instability and self-gravity, it is possible to generate star-forming clumps from diffuse large-scale initial conditions.

With magnetic fields, sheets form, as recently inferred in the Musca cloud.

In the weak magnetic case, gravitational collapse intensifies field strength towards mG magnitudes and eventually will create double-horseshoe field structure.

A thin, extended molecular cloud in a magnetic field can host the Rosette Nebula.

But, how to create very low plasma β conditions? Pressure waves next!

Thank you for listening. Any comments or questions?

Thermal instability driven initial condition:	Wareing, Pittard, Falle & Van Loo, 2016, MNRAS, **459**, 1803
Magnetic feedback general case:	Wareing, Pittard & Falle, 2017, MNRAS, **465**, 2757
Hydrodynamic feedback general case:	Wareing, Pittard & Falle, 2017, MNRAS, **470**, 2283
Rosette special case:	Wareing, Pittard, Falle & Wright, 2018, MNRAS, **475**, 3598
Clumps formed by TI + gravity	Wareing, Pittard, Falle *in preparation*

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

- Magnetohydrodynamic version of **MG** (*Morris Garages*) with self-gravity.

- Parallelised, upwind, conservative shock-capturing scheme.

- Adaptive mesh refinement uses a coarse base grid (4x4x4) with 7 (or more) levels of AMR to achieve a resolution up to 512^3 (*the Honda bit?*).

  - Why the wide range? Efficient computation of self-gravity.

HONDA
The Power of Dreams
TYPE R

- Realistic heating and cooling methods

  - Of key importance as it is the balance of these that establishes the initial condition and defines the consequent evolution.

- Three field strengths considered, with $\underline{B} = B_o \underline{\hat{I}}_x$

  $\beta = \dfrac{\rho k_B T}{B^2 / 2\mu_0}$ $\dfrac{\textit{thermal pressure}}{\textit{magnetic pressure}}$

  - The hydrodynamic case: $\beta = \infty$
  - Pressure equivalence: $\beta = 1$ - inferred to be the commonest in reality.
  - Magnetically dominated regime: $\beta = 0.1$

Aside: EPSRC and Innovate UK research proposals to apply MG in industry: cryogenic machining.