Flux Calibration of the Herschel-SPIRE Photometer

George J. Bendo

UK ALMA Regional Centre Node Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics University of Manchester

Flux Calibration Equations

The derivative of the observed signal S_{Meas} was found empirically to be the following function of voltage V:

 $\frac{dS_{Meas}}{dV} = K_1 + \frac{K_2}{K_3 - V}$

The integral of this equation gives the conversion from voltage to flux density:

$\mathbf{S}_{\text{Meas}} = \mathbf{K}_{1}(\mathbf{V}_{\text{Meas}} - \mathbf{V}_{0}) + \mathbf{K}_{2}\log\frac{\mathbf{V}_{\text{Meas}} - \mathbf{K}_{3}}{\mathbf{V}_{0} - \mathbf{K}_{3}}$

The V_0 terms are zero-point voltages that (for convenience) are set to the mean values for "dark" sky.

An additional K_{MONP} term is applied to convert the flux densities to monochromatic values for sources where vS_v is constant.

Flux Calibration Steps

The K parameters are derived for each functional bolometer in each array. Separate terms are used for the nominal and bright source voltage bias modes.

The K_3 terms and the unscaled K_1 and K_2 terms are derived by performing staring observations of backgrounds with varying brightnesses while PCal (an internal calibration source) is flashed.

The K_1 and K_2 terms are then scaled using fine scan observations of Neptune in which each bolometer passes over Neptune in a series of zigzag patterns.

PCal Flash Observations

The background signals in the PCal flash observations need to vary over the full range of expected surface brightnesses, but the exact signals from the backgrounds themselves do not need to be known.

For nominal bias mode, PCal flash observations are made in Sgr A* region.

For bright source mode, PCal flash observations are made in Sgr B2 region.

Additional calibration observations of dark fields and other sources are used to define the derivative of the curve at low surface brightnesses.













Neptune Fine Scan Observations

The fine scan observations are set up so that, in each observation, each bolometer scans over Neptune in a zigzag pattern. Scan legs are separated by 1".

A total of four observations are performed in each bias mode, with two of the observations scanning in a direction perpendicular to the other two observations.

The signals in the timeline data for each bolometer from each observation are then fitted with Gaussian functions to find the peak signal from Neptune and the background signal.











aren 18 Aren 18





Calibration Uncertainties for Individual Bolometers

The calibration for the individual bolometers have three sources of uncertainty:

Uncertainty from the fits to the PCal flash data

Uncertainties from determining the scaling terms from the fine scan data

 Uncertainties from the model flux densities of Neptune (4%)





Uncertainties for Individual Bolometers from Fitting PCal Flash Data

Array	Median Fractional Uncertainty			
	Nominal Mode	Bright Source Mode		
250 µm	0.00021	0.0061		
350 µm	0.00022	0.0051		
500 µm	0.00016	0.0020		

Uncertainties for Individual Bolometers from Scaling Terms

Array	Fractional Uncertainty				
	Nominal Mode		Bright Source Mode		
	Median	Max	Median	Max	
250 µm	0.0059	0.047	0.0032	0.0072	
350 µm	0.0042	0.045	0.0023	0.012	
500 µm	0.0052	0.012	0.0038	0.014	

Tests of the Flux Calibration

We tested the new flux calibration terms on typical SPIRE observations of three sources:

Neptune
Uranus
Gamma Dra

Photometry is done in the timeline data.









Results of Photometry Tests

Array	Neptune Measured /Model Ratio (nominal)	Neptune Measured /Model Ratio (bright)	Uranus Measured /Model Ratio (bright)	Gamma Dra Flux Densities (nominal; mJy)
250 µm	0.993 ± 0.005	0.997 ± 0.005	0.982 ± 0.006	266±3
350 µm	0.993 ± 0.008	0.996 ± 0.007	0.973±0.010	142±4
500 µm	0.997±0.004	0.998±0.003	0.971±0.004	73±4

Conclusions (PRELIMINARY)

Sources of instrumental uncertainty for flux calibration of individual bolometers is $\sim 0.5\%$, although some bolometers have uncertainties of 1-5%.

Instrumental sources of uncertainty in flux calibration for entire arrays is 1.5% for most sources (sources fainter than Neptune).

Uncertainties in flux calibration probably dominated by uncertainties in model flux densities of Neptune (4%).